

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(603)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2023/262

Islamabad November 2023

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2, **Islamabad**

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA

RULE-35):

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF

FLYOVER AT THE JUNCTION OF N-5 & N-65 AT SUKKUR

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Final Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(SAMI-UR-RAHMAN) General Manager (P&CA)

Distribution:

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

Copy to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;

- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;

- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad;

- Assistant Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.

W.



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision for Construction of Flyover at the Junction of N-5 & N-65 at Sukkur
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(603)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS506670E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	17 th April, 2023 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	17 th April, 2023 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Nine (09) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

CONTRACTOR AND THE CONTRACTOR AND		Marks			Rule/Regulation / SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
Name of Bidder	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)	Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)		
M/s Cameos Architects and Planners in JV with M/s Hussain Engineering Services, M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services and M/s The Group of Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	576	200	776	48,409,719	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))	
2) M/s Indus Associates Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.in JV with M/s G3 Engineering Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	576.80	198.23	775.03	48,841,500	2 nd	

Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision for Construction of Flyover at the Page 1 of 3 Junction of N-5 & N-65 at Sukkur

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Constitution of the second sec		Marks			Rule/Regulation / SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
Name of Bidder	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)	Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)		
3) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Bk Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Technical Associates (Sub-Consultant)	563.20	190.88	754.08	50,722,950	3 rd	
4) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s EGC (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Tareek Consulting Engineering (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd.	604.80	147.14	751.94	65,800,934	4 th	
5) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s EPCM Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	610.40	85.83	696.23	112,799,602	5 th	
6) M/s AA Associates in JV with M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Actrow Consultant (Sub-Consultant)	582.40	NOI	N-RESPO	As per RFP Data Sheet: Sub-Clause 20.1 (vi); therefore financial score is considered as zero.		
7) M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. and in JV with M/s Town Planning Consultants (Sub-Consultant)	548	Techr	nically Dis-	(Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b)(v)		
8) M/s Umar Munshi Associates in JV with M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services (Sub- Consultant)	484	Techr	nically Dis-	(Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b)(v) Data Sheet of the		
9) M/s Osmani & Co (Pvt.) Ltd.		Non-Responsive				

*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision for Construction of Flyover at the Junction of N-5 & N-65 at Sukkur Page 2 of 3

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Top Ranked Bidder:

M/s Cameos Architects and Consultants in JV with M/s Hussain Engineering Services HEC, M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services (NIES) and in association with M/s The Group of Engineers

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract will be awarded to M/s Cameos Architects and Consultants in JV with M/s Hussain Engineering Services HEC, M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services (NIES) and in association with M/s The Group of Engineers at evaluated Consultancy Cost of Pak. Rs. 55,442,982/- which includes Remuneration, Reimbursable Expenses and all applicable Federal, Provincial and Local taxes including GST.

Signature: Atalua

Official Stamp:....

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).



National Highway Authority



Annex-I
Criteria
FOR
Final Evaluation

Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision for Construction of Flyover at the Junction of N-5 & N-65 at Sukkur



November, 2023

Annex-I to Data Sheet

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)

INMANINAMON CIRITURIAN	Max	Market Fir	militastanista	i îñ	m2
JAWAT MUARING INTERNITARY	Weightage				
1. Firms Experience	100	1			
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25	17	1.5		
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75	E			
2. Approach and Methodology	250				-
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	70	10	177	F > (-	- رود د
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)			1	<u> </u>
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	1	
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50	-			
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)			1	
2-c. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)			-	
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)	,			•
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	<u>10</u>		1.		
2-e. Work Program	20	1,	म्बद्		
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25	-			
a- Design Review Key-Staff					
i. Team Leader (Engineer)	60				
b- Construction Supervision Key-Staff					
i. Resident Engineer	100				
ii. Material Engineer	70				
iii. Contract Specialist (Intermittent Basis)	40				
iv. Environmental Specialist (Intermittent Basis)	40				
v. ARE Structure	70			-	
vi. Senior Quantity Surveyor	45		4		
4. Performance Certification from clients					
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50		<u> </u>		
TOTAL			 	 	<u> </u>

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0% Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

A Pach +

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITETON / ARREATOR	Name	Alexidamic and Ganaed Qualification ⁶³ Waightaga 30%		Projectifical Fragerience Weightage 60% for Design, Review and 65% for Constingtion Supervision		String with the Plant** 10%for Design, Review and 05% for Constitution Suppression		OMFRALL RATHING (Sum of Weighted Raings)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
Design Review Key-Staff								
Team Leader (Engineer)						•		
Construction Supervision Key-Staff								
Resident Engineer								
Material Engineer								
Contract Specialist (Intermittent Basis)								
Environmental Specialist (Intermittent Basis)					,			
ARE Structure						· ·		
Senior Quantity Surveyor								
Resident Engineer								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Non-complying - 0% Very good - 90-99%

Above Average – 80-89%

Average - 70-79%

Below Average – 1-69%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

- * For Qualification of above Key Personnel, refer to TOR Clause 5.6.
- ** 6 month's older employee 100%;

The key professional staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/ available for the assignment/ associates/ part time available for the assignment in such case status with the firm's marks shall not be allocated.

